S.L.NO-3501 **Showcase Notice**
By Regd Post/ AD

भारत सरकार /Government of India
खान मंत्रालय/ Ministry of Mines
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो/ Indian Bureau of Mines
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय/ Office of the Regional Controller of Mines

o/c

Phone No.: (0832) - 2741757
Fax No. : (0832) –2741758
E-Mail : ro.goa@ibm.gov.in

Opp. R.T.O.'s Office,
P.O. FATORDA - 403602,
Margao - GOA

KNT/BJP/LST-67/GOA **38KAR26049** **Dated: 19/09/2016**

To,
Shri.GURUNATH.B.HUGAR (Mine Owner),
Naganapur Limestone Mines
Near Janeshwar Math,
Lokapur, Mudhol
Karnataka - 587122

-841-
Issued
19/09/16

Ref: 1) Violation Lr. No. KNT/BJP/LST-67/GOA dated 27/06/2016
2) Your reply to this office vide letter No. Nil dated 22/07/2016

Sub: Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 1988 in respect of Naganapur Limestone Mine (ML-2400) over an extent of 3.13 Hectares situated in Naganapur Village, Mudhol Taluk, Bagalkot District of Karnataka State.

Sir,

Undersigned inspected your above mine on 06.06.2016 in presence of the mine officials Dr.Pravin G.Hugar, Lessee's Son and Violation letter of even number KNT/BJP/LST-67/GOA dated 27/06/2016 was issued for below mentioned rules of Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 1988.

13 (1)	Mining operations in the lease area are not carried out as per the last approved Mining Scheme. The following deviation is observed during inspection, a) As per chapter 5.0 and plate no.-6 (5-year Development and Production Plan) of the approved Scheme of Mining, Pit dimension are not coinciding. It is mentioned in page-26, the dimension of pit is 215m X 89m X 14m. During inspection it was noticed that actual size of the pit differs with that of the approved one. b) It was proposed in Para 5.2 & Plate-6.C, to produce Limestone above 549m RL from Proposed Borehole 1 to 4. But, on the day of inspection, it was observed, that the no operation were carried out at proposed RL. c) It is proposed in Para 5.0 to keep all the benches of height at 3m each, whereas in the field it is observed to be more than the proposed height. d) As per para 6.0 there is an approved dumping proposal for stacking the waste material along the Northern Side of the lease area as shown in Plate no - 6. During inspection it was noticed that waste has not been dumped at the approved site. (Violation issued on 05.06.2014). e) It is proposed in the undertaking to submit the DGPS plan within 180 days from the Mining scheme approval. But till now no plan has been submitted to this office. f) In the approved mining scheme it was proposed to construct a retaining wall (12m x 1m x1m), Garland drainage (15m x 1m x1m) and Settling tank (5m x 5m x 3m) for proper waste dump & surface water management. On the day of inspection it was observed that no such work has been carried out in the mine. (Violation issued on 05.06.2014). g) As per page 25 of the above scheme of mining and plate no-6, the mined out safety barrier zone was proposed to be backfilled in the year 2014-2015 & 2015-2016 but no back filling was carried out in this area. h) During the inspection it was observed that no plantation was carried out in the lease area during the years 2014-2015 & 2015-2016 although there is a proposal of planting 100 trees per year

23(E)(2)	A yearly report before 1st July of every year setting forth the extent of protective and rehabilitation work carried out as envisaged in the approved progressive mine closure plan has not been submitted for last two years.
27(4)	The Plans & Sections required under rule 28 have not been maintained up to date in every year.
42(1)(b)(ii)	The mine is being operated without employing the part time or full time Mining Geologist or Mining Engineer required under the provision this rule.
47	Seven boreholes have been drilled during the year 2015-2016. However, the same has not been intimated in form -J to the Regional Controller of Mines within fifteen days of such commencement of drilling as required under the rule
63	A Self-Appraisal Report to be submitted by owner of the mine under Rule 63 of MCDR, 1988 stating extent of implementation of Approved Mining Plan/ Scheme of Mining during the year 2014 - 2015 & 2015 – 2016 along with supporting plans & sections representative photographs in hard as well as soft copy.

2. Your reply vide letter No.Nil dated 22.07.2016 received in this office on 19.08.2016 has been considered. After examination it has been seen that, you have complied with Rule 23(E)2 & 47 of MCDR 1988. The clarification given by you for violation of Rule 13(1), 27(4) and 42(1)(b)(ii) of MCDR 1988 are not justified. However, it has been found that even after lapse of **45 days** from the issue of violation letter, the violations for the Rule 13(1), 27(4) and 42(1)(b)(ii) remain to be continued.
3. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violation constitutes an offence, punishable under **Rule 58** of Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 1988.
4. The Mining operations can be suspended under Rule 13(2), if compliance of Rule 13(1) is not found satisfactory.
5. You are therefore, directed to show cause within a period of **thirty (30) days** as to why you should not be prosecuted for the above offence and/or why mining operations shall not be suspended as per provision of Rule 13(2) of MCDR, 1988.
6. Please note that no further notice will be given to you in this regard.

Yours faithfully,

19/9/16 o/c

(G.S.Kannan)
Junior Mining Geologist

Copy forwarded for information to: -

1. The Controller of Mines (SZ), Indian Bureau of Mines, Bangalore.
2. The Director, DMG, 49, Khanji Bhavan, Race Course Road, Bangalore- 560 001.
3. Mine File

19/9/16 o/c

(G.S.Kannan)
Junior Mining Geologist